IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.973 OF 2005

Sunita C. VArpe & ors. .. .. Petitioners
v/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. .. .. Respondents

Mr.A.A. Mirza for petitioners.

Mr.Jadhav, AGP for res.No.1.

Mr.S.V.Chavan i/by G.D.Utangale for res.No.2.

Ms.A.K.Savla for res.No.3.

CORAM : H.L. GOKHALE &
S.C.DHARMADHKARI, JJ.

DATE : 27TH APRIL 2005

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties. All that the petitioners expect is that their claim for entitlement in the scheme developed by respondent Nos.4 and 5 ought to be considered. Their appeals are pending before respondent No.2.

2. Mr.Chavan appearing for respondent No.2 states that the appeals of the petitioners will be considered by SRA. We make it clear that while considering these appeals, notice will be given to respondent Nos.4 and 5 as also to the Collector

(Encroachments) and then only the claims of the petitioners will be decided. The petitioners are occupying the transit accommodations. They will not be required to vacate those accommodations until these appeals are heard and decided and for a period of four weeks thereafter in the event these appeals come to be dismissed. Petition stands disposed of.

(H.L. GOKHALE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)